

APR. 5. 2004 6:26PM

MBS&S 919 854-1401

NO. 9142 P. 1

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 05 2004

**Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on April 5, 2004

Date

OFFICIAL

Signature

Karen Wade  
Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate

Fax No.: (703) 872-9306

Art Unit: 1639

Examiner: Celsa

Appl. Serial No.: 09/430,735

Filed: October 29, 1999

Conf. No.: 7685

From: Karen Wade

Date: April 5, 2004

Pages: 3 (including cover)

Papers submitted: After Final Interview Summary (2 pages)

PATENT

Attorney Docket No. 9233.8DV2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Ekwuribe et al. Conf. No.: 7685  
Appl. Serial No.: 09/430,735 Examiner: Celsa  
Filed: October 29, 1999 Art Unit: 1639  
For: *METHODS FOR INDUCING ANALGESIA*

Date: April 5, 2004

Mail Stop AF  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW FORM**

Sir:

## Participants:

1. Examiner Bennett Celsa

2. Karen L. Wade, Applicants' Attorney

Date of Interview: March 5, 2004

Time: 2:00 PM EST

## Type of Interview:

(1) ☒ Telephonic(2) ☐ Personal(3) ☐ Video ConferenceExhibit to be shown or demonstrated ☐ YES☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description:

**ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED**

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc.)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
1) Rejs in sections 14 and 15	_____	US 09/429,798	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2) 103 Rejs.	_____	_____	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3) Dbl pat. Rejs.	_____	_____	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached**Brief Description of Interview:**

Applicants' attorney conducted a phone interview with Examiner Bennett Celsa on March 5, 2004 to discuss the Final Office Action. Applicants' attorney began by pointing out that the reference (Ekwuribe et al. 09/429,798) cited in sections 14 and 15 of the rejection is a divisional application of the same parent application from which this divisional application was filed, so it is not available as a prior art reference to be used against this sibling application. Examiner Celsa said that upon verification of the relationship between the

Attorney's Docket No. 9233.8DV2  
In re: Ekwuribe et al.  
Appl. Serial No.: 09/430,735  
Page 2 of 2

applications, he would withdraw those two rejections. The rejections in sections 14 and 15 were not discussed further.

The 103 and double-patenting rejections of sections 8-13 of the Final Office Action were discussed and the Examiner said that he would consider arguments submitted in a written response to the Office Action.

Karen L. Wade  
Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner/SPE Signature

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8  
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the  
United States Patent and Trademark Office on April 5, 2004.

Karen L. Wade  
Karen L. Wade  
Date of Signature: April 5, 2004